

Pupil premium strategy statement

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium (and recovery premium for the 2022 to 2023 academic year) funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year's spending of pupil premium had within our school.

School overview

Detail	Data
School name	Denton CP School
Number of pupils in school	239
Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils	25%
Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers (3 year plans are recommended)	2022-2025
Date this statement was published	December 2022
Date on which it will be reviewed	Annually (March/April)
Statement authorised by	Guy Walsh, Headteacher
Pupil premium lead	Debbie Rowland, Deputy Headteacher
Governor / Trustee lead	Martin McLaughlan, lead for Pupil Premium spend

Funding overview

Detail	Amount
Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year	£83,405
Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year	£8,265
Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable)	£17,970
Total budget for this academic year If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this funding, state the amount available to your school this academic year	£109,640



Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

Statement of intent

Our intention is that all pupils, irrespective of their background or the challenges they face, make good progress and achieve high attainment across all subject areas. The focus of our pupil premium strategy is to support disadvantaged pupils to achieve that goal, including progress for those who are already high attainers, and ensure all pupils reach their full potential.

We will consider the challenges faced by vulnerable pupils, such as those who have a social worker and young carers. The activity we have outlined in this statement is also intended to support their needs, regardless of whether they are disadvantaged or not.

High-quality teaching is at the heart of our approach, with a focus on areas in which disadvantaged pupils require the most support. This is proven to have the greatest impact on closing the disadvantage attainment gap and at the same time will benefit the non-disadvantaged pupils in our school. Implicit in the intended outcomes detailed below, is the intention that non-disadvantaged pupils' attainment will be sustained and improved, alongside progress for their disadvantaged peers.

Our strategy is also integral to wider school plans for education recovery, notably in its targeted support through the National Tutoring Programme for pupils whose education has been worst affected, including non-disadvantaged pupils.

Our approach will be responsive to common challenges and individual needs, rooted in robust diagnostic assessment, not assumptions about the impact of disadvantage. The approaches we have adopted complement each other to help pupils excel. To ensure they are effective we will:

- ensure disadvantaged pupils are challenged in the work that they're set
- act early to intervene at the point need is identified
- adopt a whole school approach in which all staff take responsibility for disadvantaged pupils' outcomes and raise expectations of what they can achieve

Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

Challenge number	Detail of challenge
1	Assessments, observations, and discussions with pupils indicate underdeveloped oral language skills and vocabulary gaps among many



	disadvantaged pupils. These are evident from Reception through to Year 6 and in general, are more prevalent among our disadvantaged pupils than their peers.
2	Assessments, observations, and discussions with pupils suggest disadvantaged pupils generally have greater difficulties with phonics than their peers. This negatively impacts their development as readers.
3	Internal and external (where available) assessments indicate that read- ing, writing and maths attainment among disadvantaged pupils is, broadly speaking, below that of non-disadvantaged pupils. On entry to Reception class in the last 3 years, between 40-50% of our disadvan- taged pupils arrive below age-related expectations compared to 10-20% of other pupils. This gap reduces by the end of KS2.
4	Our assessments and observations indicate that the education and well- being of many of our disadvantaged pupils have been impacted by par- tial school closures to a greater extent than for other pupils. These find- ings are supported by national studies. This has resulted in significant knowledge gaps leading to pupils falling further behind age-related ex- pectations, especially in English and maths.
5	Our assessments, observations and discussions with pupils and families have identified social and emotional issues for many pupils, notably due to challenging home circumstances, and a lack of enrichment opportuni- ties during school closures. These challenges particularly affect disad- vantaged pupils, including their attainment. Teacher referrals for support markedly increased during the pandemic, a disproportionate number of whom are for disadvantaged pupils, who also require additional support with social and emotional needs. A disproportionate number of disad- vantaged pupils are in need of and receive small group interventions, in- cluding 1:1 support in English and maths.
6	Our attendance data over the last year indicates that attendance among disadvantaged pupils was 3% lower than for non-disadvantaged pupils (this figure is consistent with historical data).
	32% of disadvantaged pupils were 'persistently absent' compared to 15% of their peers last year (these figures are considerably higher than historical figures due to the coronavirus pandemic). Historically, there is a significant gap between disadvantaged pupils and their peers, which widened during the pandemic. Our assessments and observations indicate that absenteeism is negatively impacting disadvantaged pupils' progress.

Intended outcomes

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

Intended outcome	Success criteria
------------------	------------------



	1	
To improve progress and attainment in reading (including phonics), writing and	PPG pupils make progress at least in line with that of their peers	
maths	The attainment gap between PPG pupils and their non-PPG peers is reduced	
To support social, emotional and mental health development of pupils in receipt of PPG	Standards of behaviour are high across the school and for all pupil groups All pupils experience success and make progress in all areas All pupils have high levels of self-esteem	
	and self-discipline	
To improve attendance and punctuality of PPG pupils	The attendance gap between PPG pupils and their non-PPG peers is reduced	
To provide enriching experiences	All pupils have the opportunity to attend before and after school clubs All pupils attend school trips, including residential	

Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium funding) **this academic year** to address the challenges listed above.

Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)

Budgeted cost: £18,190

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
CPD for teachers, delivered by Educational Psychologist, including annual review of support strategies for most vulnerable pupils and follow up support (eg. specific pupil assessments)	Senior leaders highly value the support received from the Educational Psychologist. Adopted strategies have a positive impact on pupil social and emotional mental health. Staff welcome additional support from the Educational Psychologist.	15
Motivating reading through engaging texts (eg. graphic novels, phonics books).	There is strong evidence that engaging texts that promote comprehension skills has a significant impact on progress and attainment, including in phonics <u>EEF teaching reading comprehension</u> <u>strategies</u>	All



		Sull.
CPD for Thrive/Nurture/ELSA staff	We have noticed a significant impact on pupil progress, and social and emotional mental wellbeing using Thrive, Nurture and ELSA. Further evidence can be found here: <u>Thrive (thriveapproach.com)</u> <u>Nurture (repository.uel.ac.uk)</u> <u>ELSA (elsanetwork.org)</u>	10
Talk for Writing training for all staff, and leadership team	There is evidence to suggest Talk for Writing has a positive impact on staff and pupils: <u>Talk for Writing EEF</u> (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk <u>https://www.talk4writing.com/about/does-</u> talk-for-writing-work/ At Denton, we have found Talk for Writing to have a positive impact on pupil enthusiasm and progress, when used in conjunction with speech and language, and oracy teaching strategies.	All

Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support structured interventions)

Budgeted cost: £49,097

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
1-1 and small group English tuition with a qualified teacher	Tuition targeted at specific needs and knowledge gaps can be an ef- fective method to support low attain- ing pupils or those falling behind, both one-to-one:	20
	One to one tuition EEF (educa- tionendowmentfoundation.org.uk)	
	And in small groups:	
	Small group tuition Toolkit Strand Education Endowment Foundation EEF	

		Community
Targeted 1:1 and group support for reading, writing, maths, social, emotional and mental health, speech and language (additional teacher and TA support)	Tuition targeted at specific needs and knowledge gaps can be an ef- fective method to support low attain- ing pupils or those falling behind, both one-to-one: <u>One to one tuition EEF (educa- tionendowmentfoundation.org.uk)</u> And in small groups: <u>Small group tuition Toolkit Strand </u> <u>Education Endowment Foundation </u> <u>EEF</u> There is extensive evidence associating childhood social and emotional skills with improved outcomes at school and in later life (e.g., improved academic performance, attitudes, behaviour and relationships with peers):	All
	EEF Social and Emotional Learning There is a strong evidence base that suggests oral language interven- tions, including dialogic activities such as high-quality classroom dis- cussion, are inexpensive to imple- ment with high impacts on reading: <u>Oral language interventions Toolkit</u> <u>Strand Education Endowment</u> <u>Foundation EEF</u>	
1-1 and small group sessions with a qualified Thrive/Nurture/ELSA practitioner (focused pastoral, social and emotional support)	There is extensive evidence associating childhood social and emotional skills with improved outcomes at school and in later life (e.g., improved academic performance, attitudes, behaviour and relationships with peers): <u>EEF Social and Emotional Learning</u>	10
	There is a strong evidence base that suggests oral language interven- tions, including dialogic activities such as high-quality classroom dis- cussion, are inexpensive to imple- ment with high impacts on reading: <u>Oral language interventions Toolkit</u> <u>Strand Education Endowment</u> <u>Foundation EEF</u>	




		11
Thrive sessions for targeted pupils and families	There is extensive evidence associating childhood social and emotional skills with improved outcomes at school and in later life (e.g., improved academic performance, attitudes, behaviour and relationships with peers): <u>EEF Social and Emotional Learning</u>	10
1-1 support for EAL pupils, including provision of translators for meetings with staff (Ethnic/Bilingual support/EALS)	There is a strong evidence base that suggests oral language interven- tions, including dialogic activities such as high-quality classroom dis- cussion, are inexpensive to imple- ment with high impacts on reading: <u>Oral language interventions Toolkit</u> <u>Strand Education Endowment</u> Foundation EEF	2
Speech and language support for targeted pupils (early intervention)	There is a strong evidence base that suggests oral language interven- tions, including dialogic activities such as high-quality classroom dis- cussion, are inexpensive to imple- ment with high impacts on reading: <u>Oral language interventions Toolkit</u> <u>Strand Education Endowment</u> <u>Foundation EEF</u>	6

Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

Budgeted cost: £42,232

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Behaviour and attendance support for most vulnerable pupils (ESBAS)	Both targeted interventions and universal approaches can have positive overall effects: <u>Behaviour interventions EEF</u> (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)	6
Transport to and from school, including taxi service and bus passes for pupils who cannot	Principles of good practice set out in the DfE's <u>Improving School Attendance</u> advice (DfE guidance has been informed by engagement with schools that have significantly reduced levels of absence and persistent absence).	6



Total budgeted cost: £109,519



Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year

Pupil premium strategy outcomes

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the 2020 to 2021 academic year.

We have analysed the performance of our school's disadvantaged pupils during the 2021/22 academic year using key stage 1 and 2 performance data, phonics check results and our own internal assessments.

Schools are not required to publish their 2022 key stage 2 results as DfE is not publishing this data. This is because statutory assessments returned for the first time since 2019, without adaptations, after disruption caused by the pandemic. This is a transitional arrangement for one year only, and DfE plans to publish key stage 2 school performance data for 2023.

DfE has shared our school's 2022 performance data with us, to help us better understand the impact of the pandemic on our pupils and how this varies between different groups of pupils. COVID-19 had a significant impact on the education system and this disruption affected schools and pupils differently, and because of this, it is more difficult to interpret why the results are as they are using the data alone.

To help us gauge the performance of our disadvantaged pupils we compared our results to those for disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged pupils at a national and regional level (although these comparisons are to be considered with caution given the caveats stated above). We also looked at these comparisons using pre-pandemic scores for 2019, in order to assess how the performance of our disadvantaged pupils has changed during this period.

Data from tests and assessments suggest that, despite some strong individual performances, the progress and attainment of the school's disadvantaged pupils in 2021/22 was below our expectations (this was also true of non-disadvantaged pupils). Our analysis suggests that the reason for this is primarily the ongoing impact of COVID-19 and associated disruption to al children's education.

The attainment gap between our disadvantaged pupils and non-disadvantaged pupils has grown since the start of the pandemic. This is reflective of national figures and demonstrates the additional impact of COVID-19 on disadvantaged pupils. However, this is now starting to reduce as disruption to education has become less extensive.

Absence among disadvantaged pupils was 3% higher than their peers in 2021/22 and persistent absence 17% higher. We recognise this gap is too large which is why raising



the attendance of our disadvantaged pupils is a focus of our current plan. COVID-19 has negatively impacted on the attendance of some disadvantaged pupils, which is also reflected nationally.

Our observations and assessments demonstrated that pupil behaviour improved last year, but challenges in relation to wellbeing and mental health remain significantly higher than before the pandemic. The impact on disadvantaged pupils has been particularly acute.

We have reviewed our strategy plan and made changes to how we intend to use some of our budget this academic year to reflect the challenges posed by the pandemic, as set out in the Activity in This Academic Year section above.

The gap between disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged pupils is beginning to close and we believe our strategy will accelerate this positive improvement further.

Externally provided programmes

List of non-DfE programmes that were purchased in the previous academic year.

Programme	Provider
C-POMS safeguarding software	C-POMS Systems Ltd
White Rose Maths	White Rose Maths, Trinity MAT
Thrive	Fronting the Challenge Projects Ltd
Tapestry online learning journal	Tapestry Ltd
Purple Mash computing software/curriculum	2-Simple Ltd
Nessy literacy support	Nessy Learning
Bug Club Phonics & Rapid Phonics	Active Learn (Pearson)
Hamilton Trust curriculum plans	Hamilton Trust
The Key for School Leaders	The Key Support Services Ltd
Twinkl	Twinkl Ltd
The PE Hub	The PE Hub
Association for Physical Education	Association for Physical Education
Kapow Primary	Kapow Primary Ltd
Charanga	Charanga Ltd



Service pupil premium funding

NB. The school does not currently have any pupils in receipt of the service pupil premium.

Measure	Details
How did you spend your service pupil premium allocation last academic year?	N/A
What was the impact of that spending on service pupil premium eligible pupils?	N/A



Further information

Additional activity

Our pupil premium strategy will be supplemented by additional activity that is not being funded by pupil premium or recovery premium. That will include:

- embedding more effective practice around feedback. <u>EEF evidence</u> demonstrates this has significant benefits for pupils, particularly disadvantaged pupils.
- utilising a <u>DfE grant to train a senior mental health lead</u>. The training we have selected will focus on the training needs identified through the online tool: to develop our understanding of our pupils' needs, give pupils a voice in how we address wellbeing, and support more effective collaboration with parents.
- offering a wide range of high-quality extracurricular activities to boost wellbeing, behaviour, attendance, and aspiration. Activities will focus on building life skills such as confidence, resilience, and socialising. Disadvantaged pupils will be encouraged and supported to participate.

Planning, implementation, and evaluation

In planning our new pupil premium strategy, we evaluated why activity undertaken in previous years had not had the degree of impact that we had expected. We also commissioned a pupil premium review to get an external perspective.

We triangulated evidence from multiple sources of data including assessments, engagement in class book scrutiny, conversations with parents, students and teachers in order to identify the challenges faced by disadvantaged pupils. We also used the EEF's families of schools database to view the performance of disadvantaged pupils in schools similar to ours and contacted schools with high-performing disadvantaged pupils to learn from their approach.

We looked at a number of reports, studies and research papers about effective use of pupil premium, the impact of disadvantage on education outcomes and how to address challenges to learning presented by socio-economic disadvantage. We also looked at studies about the impact of the pandemic on disadvantaged pupils.

We used the <u>EEF's implementation guidance</u> to help us develop our strategy, particularly the 'explore' phase to help us diagnose specific pupil needs and work out which activities and approaches are likely to work in our school. We will continue to use it through the implementation of activities.

We have put a robust evaluation framework in place for the duration of our three-year approach and will adjust our plan over time to secure better outcomes for pupils.